

**ORDER SHEET**  
**Balochistan Sales Tax on Services Appellate Tribunal**  
**Quetta**

STA 61/2025

M/S Fauji Security Pvt

**VERSUS**

Commissioner-II BRA

Serial No.	Date	Order with signature of Chairperson/Members.												
	12/11/2025	<p>This is an appeal filed by the appellant against the Order (No. 117/2024) dated June 30, 2025 whereby the appellant was held responsible to make payment of Rupees 32,081,024/-</p> <p>On last date of hearing, the parties expressed their willingness to reconcile the difference and submit a report in this behalf.</p> <p>Today, the learned counsel for the parties Mr. Zahid Mehmood, ITP for the Appellant and Barrister Wasil Jan for the Respondent appeared and submitted a joint statement which reads as under;</p> <p><i>"This refers to the hearing dated October 06, 2025 before the Balochistan Sales Tax on Services Appellate Tribunal, Quetta (the Tribunal) with respect to appeal bearing STA No. 61/2025 submitted by Fauji Security Services (Private) Limited (the Company) against Order in Original bearing No. 117/2024 dated June 30, 2025 (the Impugned ONO). During the hearing, the Company's case was partly heard and both the Company as well as BRA were directed to have an attempt to reconcile the following impugned issues:</i></p> <table><tr><th>S No</th><th>Particulars</th><th>Amount (Rs.)</th></tr><tr><td>1</td><td>Discrepancy In Revenue</td><td>31,854,937</td></tr><tr><td>2</td><td>Short payment 4/5th of BSTS</td><td>164,987</td></tr><tr><td>3</td><td>Penalty for late filing of returns</td><td>61,100</td></tr></table> <p><i>In furtherance of the said directions of the Tribunal, a discussion was held at the office of Commissioner-II on October 06, 2025 followed by a detailed reply dated November 04, 2025. The Commissioner-II has reviewed the submission, and the impugned issues have been resolved as under:</i></p>	S No	Particulars	Amount (Rs.)	1	Discrepancy In Revenue	31,854,937	2	Short payment 4/5th of BSTS	164,987	3	Penalty for late filing of returns	61,100
S No	Particulars	Amount (Rs.)												
1	Discrepancy In Revenue	31,854,937												
2	Short payment 4/5th of BSTS	164,987												
3	Penalty for late filing of returns	61,100												

<i>S No</i>	<i>Particulars</i>	<i>Amount (Rs.)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
1	Discrepancy In Revenue	NIL	The submitted record shows that the revenue pertains to services rendered in Gilgit Baltistan.
2	Short payment 4/5th of BSTS	23,292	The calculation error has been corrected. FSSS conceded payment to this extent."

The parties further pointed out that although the matter has been resolved to the extent of the main liability however the penalty (under section 48), imposed by the Learned Commissioner for late filing of the returns total amounting rupees 61,100 is left to be decided by this Tribunal.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case. The appellant is directed to deposit the remaining amount of short payment i.e. RS 23,292/- within a shortest possible period. While the default amount of RS 61,100 is hereby waved of (deleted).

With the above, the Appeal stands disposed-of.

Orders Accordingly.

\_\_\_SD\_\_\_

Chairperson

\_\_\_SD\_\_\_

Member

\_\_\_SD\_\_\_

Member

Date 12/11/2025